
Type of Article: Review

Title: Publication Trends and Research Hotspots in Rosacea Over the Past Two Decades: A Bibliometric Analysis and Systematic Review

Authors: Liang-Hong Chen^{1, 2, 3}, Shu-Yan Chen^{1,3}, Ying Zhang^{1,3}, Jin-Xuan Wu^{1,3}, Miao Dong^{1,3}, Yan Wu^{3,4}, Yan Sun^{1,3,*}

¹Department of Dermatology, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China

²Department of Emergency Medicine, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China

³NHC Key Laboratory of Immunodermatology, Ministry of Education Key Laboratory of Immunodermatology, National Joint Engineering Research Center for Diagnosis and Treatment of Immunologic Skin Diseases, The First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China.

⁴Department of Dermatology, Shengjing Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang, China

***Corresponding author:** Yan Sun

Address: 155 North Nanjing Street, Shenyang, 110001, China.

Department of Dermatology, The First Hospital of China Medical University,

Email: sunyan805@163.com

Clinical Question Box

What are the evolving research trends and hotspots in rosacea-related studies over the past two decades?

A bibliometric analysis of 2,197 rosacea-related studies from 2003 to 2022 reveals a steady increase in publications and citations, reflecting growing academic interest. Early research focuses on treatments such as metronidazole and tetracycline, while recent studies emphasize disease mechanisms, comorbidities, and guideline development. Eight research clusters emerge, highlighting a shift from symptom control to a deeper understanding of pathophysiology and consensus-based management.

Abstract

Rosacea is a chronic, relapsing inflammatory skin condition. This study identifies publication trends and research hotspots related to rosacea over the past two decades. A bibliometric analysis was conducted using the Web of Science Core Collection, limited to English-language articles and reviews from 2003 to 2022. Data were analyzed using the Online Analysis Platform of Literature Metrology, CiteSpace, and VOSviewer. A total of 2,197 studies were retrieved (1,843 articles and 354 reviews), revealing a steady increase in publications and citations. Research originated from 85 countries/regions, with the USA, China, and Germany leading. The most prolific authors are Li J, Del Rosso JQ, and Xie HF; top journals include the *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology*, the *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*, and *Cutis*. Leading institutions include the University of California System, the University of California, San Diego, and Galderma R&D SNC. Among the 6,741 identified keywords, 139 appear more than 20 times, with “rosacea,” “efficacy,” and “papulopustular rosacea” being the most frequent. Keywords with the strongest citation bursts include “topical metronidazole,” “update,” and “tetracycline.” This review highlights key publication trends and research focuses in rosacea, providing insight into evolving directions and enhancing understanding of the disease.

Keywords: rosacea, publication trends, research hotspots, bibliometric analysis, review

Introduction

Rosacea is a chronic, relapsing inflammatory skin disorder. According to the Global Rosacea Consensus Panel, clinical diagnosis requires either one diagnostic feature—such as fixed centrofacial erythema or phymatous changes—or at least two major features, including papules and pustules, flushing, telangiectasia, and ocular symptoms.¹ The global prevalence of rosacea ranges from 1% to 22%, with higher rates observed in individuals with fair skin and lower rates in those with darker skin, as erythema and telangiectasia are more difficult to detect in the latter.²⁻⁴ Prevalence also declines progressively from skin type I to type IV. Rosacea most commonly affects individuals between 30 and 50 years of age and is more prevalent in women.⁵ The causes of rosacea are multifactorial, involving genetic predisposition, neurovascular imbalance, immune system abnormalities, and environmental triggers. Common exacerbating factors include heat, microbial exposure, ultraviolet radiation, dietary stimuli, alcohol consumption, smoking, spicy foods, and certain medications.⁶

Recently, rosacea has been increasingly recognized as a condition with systemic associations, including links to *Helicobacter pylori* infection, obesity, inflammatory bowel disease, cardiovascular disorders, glioma, breast cancer, and hematologic malignancies.^{7,8} These associations are often identified through large-scale epidemiological studies and retrospective cohort analyses, which assess the co-occurrence of rosacea with systemic conditions using diagnostic codes, patient registries, and electronic health records, thereby suggesting shared inflammatory or immunological pathways.^{9,10} Additionally, it can negatively impact mental health,

contributing to feelings of embarrassment, anxiety, depression, and low self-esteem, which collectively diminish quality of life.¹¹ The earliest documented case of rosacea dates back to 1848, when Kellog et al. treated acne rosacea with *liquor potassae arsenitis*.¹² Since then, interest in the condition has steadily grown, with a marked rise in related publications over the past two decades.

Bibliometric analysis is a quantitative approach used to explore the evolution and research focus of a particular field by evaluating published literature.¹³ This approach has been widely applied in various medical disciplines, including periodontology, glioblastoma multiforme, COVID-19, and atopic dermatitis.¹⁴⁻¹⁷ In this study, we conducted a comprehensive bibliometric assessment of rosacea-related publications indexed in the Web of Science Core Collection (WoScc) over the past 20 years to identify evolving research trends and emerging focal points in the field.

Methods

Data Sources and Searching Strategies

The term “rosacea” was used as the search keyword in the Web of Science Core Collection (WoScc). The search was limited to English-language publications and restricted to articles and reviews. The publication period spanned from January 1, 2003, to December 31, 2022. To minimize potential bias due to database updates, the search was conducted on a single day (June 25, 2023). Journal impact factors (IFs) were obtained from the 2022 edition of the *Journal Citation Reports* (JCR; <https://jcr.clarivate.com/jcr/home>).

Data Searching and Collection

Two researchers (L.C. and S.C.) independently performed the literature search and data extraction based on the predefined strategy. They screened the studies separately and resolved disagreements through discussion. Any remaining discrepancies were addressed in consultation with a third reviewer (Y.S.). The search results were analyzed using WoScc and exported in tab-delimited and plain text formats for further processing.

Bibliometric Analysis

The tab-delimited files retrieved from WoScc were uploaded to the Online Analysis Platform of Literature Metrology (<http://bibliometric.com/>), hereafter referred to as the “Online Analysis Platform.” The corresponding plain text files were imported into CiteSpace (version 6.2.R4, 2003–2023, Chaomei Chen) and VOSviewer (version 1.6.19, Leiden University, the Netherlands) for data visualization. After removing duplicate records, bibliometric analyses were performed to examine trends in annual

publications and citations, contributing countries or regions, authors, journals, institutions, keywords, and references with citation bursts.

Just Accepted

Results

Annual Publication Volume and Citation Trends

Based on the search strategy, a total of 3,376 rosacea-related publications were retrieved from the Web of Science Core Collection (WoScC). Following screening, 2,197 studies were included in the final analysis, comprising 1,843 original articles and 354 review articles. The search and selection process is illustrated in Figure 1. Analysis of WoScC data revealed a consistent increase in both the number of publications and annual citations over the past two decades. The highest number of studies was published in 2021 ($n = 211$), followed by 2020 ($n = 189$) and 2022 ($n = 172$). The 2,197 included studies received a total of 51,034 citations, averaging 23.23 citations per study, or 32,861 citations after excluding self-citations. These publications collectively cited 23,363 references, which decreased to 21,585 after the exclusion of self-citations. The overall H-index was 91. Annual citation counts peaked in 2022 ($n = 6,764$), followed by 2021 ($n = 6,375$) and 2020 ($n = 6,109$).

Countries/Regions and Authors Distribution

Analysis of WoScC data identified contributions from 85 countries or regions to rosacea-related publications. The most prolific contributors were the United States (35.37%), followed by China (9.92%), and Germany (8.42%). The top 10 most active countries or regions collectively accounted for 88.53% (1,945 of 2,197) of all included studies. A substantial level of international collaboration was observed, as illustrated by the Online Analysis Platform.

A total of 7,279 authors contributed to the included studies. The most productive authors were Li J (China, 2.32% of studies), Del Rosso JQ (USA, 2.05%), and Xie HF (China, 1.96%). The top 10 most active authors were responsible for 14.97% (329 of 2,197) of the publications, as visualized using VOSviewer. In terms of authorship roles, Del Rosso JQ (1.55%), Draelos ZD (USA, 0.82%), and Zhao YE (China, 0.05%) were the most frequent first authors. The most common corresponding authors were Del Rosso JQ (1.62%), Suh DH (Korea, 1.09%), and Draelos ZD (0.73%). Based on total citation counts, Steinhoff M (USA, 1,280 citations), Gallo RL (USA, 1,162 citations), and Thiboutot D (USA, 899 citations) ranked as the three most highly cited authors.

Journals and Institutions Distribution

According to the WoScc analysis, the included studies were published across 507 journals. The top three publishing journals were the *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology* (JDD, 4.64%), the *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* (JAAD, 4.10%), and *Cutis* (3.96%). The 10 most active journals collectively accounted for 28.36% (623 of 2,197) of the total publications. Most of these journals had relatively high impact factors, with JAAD reporting the highest (IF = 13.8). In terms of citation counts, JAAD ranked first (3,206 citations), followed by the *British Journal of Dermatology* (BJD, 1,390 citations) and the *Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology* (JEADV, 1,029 citations).

A total of 2,435 institutions contributed to the included studies. The most productive institutions were the University of California system (USA, 5.74%), the University of California, San Diego (USA, 2.91%), and Galderma R&D SNC (France,

2.69%). The 10 most active institutions accounted for 25.53% (561 out of 2,197) of the included publications, with 60% of these institutions based in the United States.

Keywords

The VOSviewer analysis identified a total of 6,741 keywords. Among these, 139 keywords appeared more than 20 times. The most frequently occurring keyword was “rosacea” (occurrences = 966, total link strength = 3,660), followed by “efficacy” (occurrences = 207, total link strength = 976) and “papulopustular rosacea” (occurrences = 145, total link strength = 844). CiteSpace further categorized the keywords into eight thematic clusters, reflecting key research areas: efficacy, demodicosis, depression, angiogenesis, atopic dermatitis, meibomian gland dysfunction, acne rosacea, and erythema.

The strongest citation bursts over the past two decades were observed for “topical metronidazole” (burst strength = 13.2), “update” (12.71), and “tetracycline” (11.83). Earlier research primarily focused on “topical metronidazole,” “tetracycline,” and “clinical trials.” Since 2019, emerging keywords such as “national rosacea,” “recommendation,” “comorbidity,” “risk,” “update,” and “activation” have exhibited strong citation bursts, indicating interest in standardized treatment protocols and broader clinical investigations in rosacea research.

Studies with Citation Bursts

CiteSpace identified 371 studies with significant citation bursts. The top 10 studies with the strongest bursts were published between 2002 and 2018, with the majority classified as review articles (70%).¹⁸⁻²⁴ All ten were published in high-impact journals,

the highest being *Nature Medicine* (Impact Factor = 82.9), which featured a pivotal study demonstrating elevated cathelicidin levels in the facial skin of rosacea patients and implicating an exaggerated innate immune response in rosacea pathogenesis.²⁵ Notably, 60% of these top 10 studies were published in the *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* (JAAD, IF = 13.8).^{18,20,23,24,26,27}

The strongest citation burst was associated with an article published in 2018, titled “*Standard classification and pathophysiology of rosacea: The 2017 update by the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee*” (burst strength = 50.11).¹⁸ This publication serves as an updated version of an earlier report, “*Standard classification of rosacea: Report of the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee on the classification and staging of rosacea*,” which exhibited the third strongest citation burst (burst strength = 32.46).²⁷ Details of these top studies are summarized in Table 2.

Discussion

This bibliometric analysis underscores the growing scholarly interest in rosacea over the past two decades, evidenced by a steady increase in both publications and citations, particularly in recent years. The United States emerged as the leading contributor, supported by robust international collaboration and high institutional output, notably from the University of California system. Compared to earlier, narrower bibliometric assessments, this study offers a more comprehensive overview by leveraging advanced visualization tools to identify influential contributors, key journals, and prevailing thematic trends. A clear shift in research focus was observed, from early investigations centered on topical treatments such as metronidazole and tetracycline to more recent emphasis on classification systems, comorbidities, and standardized management strategies. This evolution reflects a broader, more systematic approach to understanding and treating rosacea.²⁸

Journals publish various types of content, including research articles, reviews, letters, case reports, editorials, and quizzes. Among these, original articles and reviews are typically cited more frequently, thereby contributing more significantly to a journal's impact factor (IF). As the IF is widely regarded as an indicator of journal quality—calculated based on the number of citations received in a year—limiting bibliometric analysis to articles and reviews enhances the relevance and comparability of citation-based metrics.^{29,30} While original research offers critical clinical and mechanistic insights, review articles often attract more citations by synthesizing and conceptualizing findings from a broad range of studies. This citation pattern highlights

the complementary roles of original investigations and comprehensive reviews in advancing knowledge and visibility within a given field.

The number of citations a study receives is primarily influenced by its publication date and the impact factor of the journal in which it is published. Older publications generally accumulate more citations over time, and articles appearing in high-impact journals are more likely to be referenced by other researchers.³¹ In recent years, rosacea-related research has attracted increasing academic attention, as evidenced by the continuous rise in annual citation counts. This trend reflects not only a growing interest in the field, but also a gradual improvement in the quality and visibility of rosacea studies.

Approximately one-third of the included studies originated from the United States, and 60% of the top 10 most active authors and institutions were also based there, underscoring the dominant role of the U.S. in rosacea research. This high output may be attributed to greater access to research funding, well-established institutional infrastructure, and strong collaborations with industry partners. However, recent years have seen a marked increase in contributions from Chinese researchers, reflecting a rising domestic interest in the condition. This trend may be driven by China's large population base, increased public awareness, and evolving healthcare-seeking behavior. Additionally, international collaboration has intensified, indicating a collective global effort to advance the understanding and management of rosacea.

A total of 12.7% of rosacea-related studies were published in the three most active journals: *Journal of Drugs in Dermatology* (JDD), *Journal of the American Academy*

of *Dermatology* (JAAD), and *Cutis*. Among all journals, *Nature Medicine* had the highest impact factor (IF = 82.7). JAAD, the official scientific publication of the American Academy of Dermatology (AAD), holds a prominent position in the field, with an impact factor of 13.8 and a CiteScore of 8.70, ranking 7th out of 133 dermatology journals. As the official platform for publishing AAD practice guidelines, JAAD upholds rigorous editorial standards and accepts only high-quality research. Notably, 60% of the top 10 studies with the strongest citation bursts were published in JAAD, underscoring the journal's central role in disseminating influential findings. This pattern reflects both the increasing academic interest in rosacea and the growing volume of high-impact research dedicated to this dermatologic condition.

Keywords with strong citation bursts often indicate emerging research hotspots. In this study, 139 frequently cited keywords were grouped into eight thematic clusters encompassing rosacea's mechanisms, clinical features, treatments, and psychosocial aspects. Early citation bursts, such as "topical metronidazole," "tetracycline," and "clinical trial," reflected a focus on treatment strategies. In contrast, recent bursts, like "national rosacea," "recommendation," "comorbidity," and "activation", suggest a shift toward understanding underlying mechanisms and developing consensus guidelines. Over time, research has moved from topical therapies toward mechanistic insights involving immune pathways, neurovascular factors, and *Demodex* mites. Trends in Keyword trends highlight a growing interest in targets such as cathelicidin, TRPV1, the microbiome, and genetic contributors, reflecting an evolving focus in rosacea research

toward personalized, mechanism-based treatments and uncovering essential gaps for future investigation.

This shift mirrors the typical progression of disease investigation: initial empirical treatment, followed by structured clinical trials, mechanistic exploration, and, ultimately, the formulation of clinical guidelines by expert panels. Notably, the growing interest in inflammatory pathways, reflected by recent bursts in keywords such as “inflammation,” “immune response,” and “innate immunity”, has been catalyzed by influential studies elucidating the role of Toll-like receptors, cathelicidins, and other immunological mediators in rosacea pathophysiology. These findings have reshaped the understanding of disease mechanisms and steered research toward targeted therapies, marking inflammation as a central and promising direction for future investigation.³²

Due to the bibliometric nature of this study, formal quality assessment of included articles was not performed. Inclusion was based on citation metrics and relevance, which may not fully reflect methodological rigor or potential biases. Findings should be interpreted accordingly.

Conclusion

This bibliometric analysis identified publication trends and research hotspots in rosacea-related literature over the past two decades. It highlighted emerging directions in the field, offering a deeper understanding of the disease. Ongoing high-quality research is essential for advancing the diagnosis, treatment, and overall management of rosacea.

Just Accepted

Acknowledgments: None

Funding Source: This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant number 82103758) and the Natural Science Foundation of Liaoning Province (No. 2024-MS-056).

Author Contributions: Y.W. and Y.S. contributed to the study design and drafting. L.C., S.C., and Y.Z. worked on the study search, quality check, data extraction, and analysis. J. W. and M.D. worked on data interpretation and the revision process. All authors have read the manuscript and agree with its content and data.

Data Availability: The corresponding author shall make the datasets available upon reasonable request.

Ethical Statement: Institutional Review Board approval was waived due to the nature of the meta-analysis.

Conflict of Interest: The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. Schaller M, Almeida LMC, Bewley A, et al. Recommendations for rosacea diagnosis, classification and management: update from the global ROSacea COnsensus 2019 panel. *Br J Dermatol*. May 2020;182(5):1269-1276. doi:10.1111/bjd.18420
2. Piccolo D, Fusco I, Zingoni T, Conforti C. Effective Treatment of Rosacea and Other Vascular Lesions Using Intense Pulsed Light System Emitting Vascular Chromophore-Specific Wavelengths: A Clinical and Dermoscopic Analysis. *J Clin Med*. Mar 13 2024;13(6)doi:10.3390/jcm13061646
3. Sarkar R, Podder I, Jagadeesan S. Rosacea in skin of color: A comprehensive review. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol*. Nov-Dec 2020;86(6):611-621. doi:10.4103/ijdv.IJDVL_769_19
4. Chen A, Choi J, Balazic E, Zhu TR, Kobets K. Review of laser and energy-based devices to treat rosacea in skin of color. *J Cosmet Laser Ther*. Jan-Jun 2024;26(1-4):43-53. doi:10.1080/14764172.2024.2376701
5. Li J, Wang B, Deng Y, et al. Epidemiological features of rosacea in Changsha, China: A population-based, cross-sectional study. *J Dermatol*. May 2020;47(5):497-502. doi:10.1111/1346-8138.15301
6. Paiva-Santos AC, Gonçalves T, Peixoto D, et al. Rosacea Topical Treatment and Care: From Traditional to New Drug Delivery Systems. *Mol Pharm*. Aug 7 2023;20(8):3804-3828. doi:10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.3c00324
7. Thapa L, Xia J, Guo W, Usmani H, Miller D, Lozeau D. Rosacea and Its Association With Malignancy: Systematic Review. *JMIR Dermatol*. Nov 8 2023;6:e47821. doi:10.2196/47821
8. Frydrych ZL, Chwaścianek N, Błaszak K, Czajkowski R. The potential role of *Helicobacter pylori* and other gut dysbiosis factors in the development of rosacea. *Forum Dermatologicum*. 2023;9(4):138-142. doi:10.5603/fd.96224
9. Han J, Liu T, Zhang M, Wang A. The relationship between inflammatory bowel disease and rosacea over the lifespan: A meta-analysis. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol*. Aug 2019;43(4):497-502. doi:10.1016/j.clinre.2018.09.009
10. Choi D, Choi S, Choi S, Park SM, Yoon HS. Association of Rosacea With Cardiovascular Disease: A Retrospective Cohort Study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. Oct 5 2021;10(19):e020671. doi:10.1161/jaha.120.020671
11. Barberis N, Cannavò M, Trovato M, Verrastro V. Pathways from Trait Emotional Intelligence to factors of distress in Rosacea: The mediating role of Social Phobia and Self-Esteem. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2023/06/15/ 2023;331:362-368. doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2023.03.003>
12. Kellog AO. Case of Acne Rosacea: Treated with Liquor Potassae Arsenitis. *Buffalo Med J Mon Rev Med Surg Sci*. Mar 1848;3(10):598-600.
13. Hakkaraki VP. Exploring the Evolution of Bibliometric Analysis: A Comprehensive Study of Scientific Publications from 1974 to 2024 Using the Dimensions AI Database. *Asian Journal of Information Science and Technology*. 03/20 2024;14(1):24-31. doi:10.70112/ajist-2024.14.1.3878
14. Ahmad P, Slots J. A bibliometric analysis of periodontology. *Periodontol 2000*. Feb 2021;85(1):237-240. doi:10.1111/prd.12376
15. Akmal M, Hasnain N, Rehan A, et al. Glioblastoma Multiforme: A Bibliometric Analysis. *World Neurosurg*. Apr 2020;136:270-282. doi:10.1016/j.wneu.2020.01.027
16. Yu YT, Li YJ, Zhang ZH, et al. A bibliometric analysis using VOSviewer of publications on COVID-19. *Ann Transl Med*. Jul 2020;8(13)doi:ARTN 816

10.21037/atm-20-4235

17. Kim D, Chae Y, Park HJ, Lee IS. A Bibliometric Analysis of Atopic Dermatitis Research over the Past Three Decades and Future Perspectives. *Healthcare (Basel)*. Dec 17 2021;9(12):doi:10.3390/healthcare9121749
18. Gallo RL, Granstein RD, Kang S, et al. Standard classification and pathophysiology of rosacea: The 2017 update by the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. Jan 2018;78(1):148-155. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2017.08.037
19. Steinhoff M, Schaubert J, Leyden JJ. New insights into rosacea pathophysiology: a review of recent findings. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. Dec 2013;69(6 Suppl 1):S15-26. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2013.04.045
20. Two AM, Wu W, Gallo RL, Hata TR. Rosacea: part I. Introduction, categorization, histology, pathogenesis, and risk factors. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. May 2015;72(5):749-58; quiz 759-60. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2014.08.028
21. Yamasaki K, Gallo RL. The molecular pathology of rosacea. *J Dermatol Sci*. Aug 2009;55(2):77-81. doi:10.1016/j.jdermsci.2009.04.007
22. Steinhoff M, Buddenkotte J, Aubert J, et al. Clinical, cellular, and molecular aspects in the pathophysiology of rosacea. *J Investig Dermatol Symp Proc*. Dec 2011;15(1):2-11. doi:10.1038/jidsymp.2011.7
23. Crawford GH, Pelle MT, James WD. Rosacea: I. Etiology, pathogenesis, and subtype classification. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. Sep 2004;51(3):327-41; quiz 342-4. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2004.03.030
24. Tan J, Berg M. Rosacea: current state of epidemiology. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. Dec 2013;69(6 Suppl 1):S27-35. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2013.04.043
25. Yamasaki K, Di Nardo A, Bardan A, et al. Increased serine protease activity and cathelicidin promotes skin inflammation in rosacea. *Nat Med*. Aug 2007;13(8):975-80. doi:10.1038/nm1616
26. Steinhoff M, Schaubert J, Leyden JJ. New insights into rosacea pathophysiology: A review of recent findings. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology*. Dec 2013;69(6):S15-S26. doi:10.1016/j.jaad.2013.04.045
27. Wilkin J, Dahl M, Detmar M, et al. Standard classification of rosacea: Report of the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee on the Classification and Staging of Rosacea. *J Am Acad Dermatol*. Apr 2002;46(4):584-7. doi:10.1067/mjd.2002.120625
28. Wang Y, Zhang H, Fang R, Tang K, Sun Q. The top 100 most cited articles in rosacea: a bibliometric analysis. *J Eur Acad Dermatol*. Oct 2020;34(10):2177-2182. doi:10.1111/jdv.16305
29. Hartel R. Impact Factor - for better or worse. *J Food Sci*. Sep 2021;86(9):3758. doi:10.1111/1750-3841.15219
30. Rodríguez-Lago L, Molina-Leyva A, Pereiro-Ferreirós M, García-Doval I. Influence of Article Type on the Impact Factor of Dermatology Journals. *Actas Dermosifiliogr (Engl Ed)*. Jun 2018;109(5):432-438. Influencia de los distintos tipos de artículo en el factor de impacto de las revistas dermatológicas. doi:10.1016/j.ad.2018.01.003
31. Schargus M, Kromer R, Druchkiv V, Frings A. The top 100 papers in dry eye - A bibliometric analysis. *Ocul Surf*. Jan 2018;16(1):180-190. doi:10.1016/j.jtos.2017.09.006
32. Sun Y, Chen LH, Lu YS, et al. Identification of novel candidate genes in rosacea by bioinformatic methods. *Cytokine*. May 2021;141:155444. doi:10.1016/j.cyto.2021.155444

Just Accepted

Table 1. Top 10 Contributors: Countries/Regions, Authors, Journals, and Institutions

Rank	Country/Region	No.	Authors	No.	Journal	IF	No.	Institute	No.
1	USA	777	Li J	51	Journal of Drugs in Dermatology	1.5	102	University of California	126
2	China	218	Del Rosso JQ	45	Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology	13.8	90	University of California, San Diego	64
3	Germany	185	Xie HF	43	Cutis	1.6	87	Galderma R&D SNC	59
4	Turkey	182	Feldman SR	31	Journal of the European Academy of Dermatology and Venereology	9.2	67	Wake Forest University	56
5	South Korea	112	Tan J	31	Journal of Cosmetic Dermatology	2.3	60	Central South University	50
6	France	110	Steinhoff M	30	British Journal of Dermatology	10.3	59	University of Munich	46
7	Italy	102	Gallo RL	25	International Journal of Dermatology	3.6	48	Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai	44
8	England	93	Thiboutot D	25	Journal of Dermatology	3.1	39	PA State System of Higher Education	40
9	Canada	91	Draelos ZD	24	Dermatologic Therapy	3.6	37	Udice French Research Universities	40
10	Spain	75	Schaller M	24	Clinical and Experimental Dermatology	4.1	34	Harvard University	36

No. number; IF impact factor

Table 2. Top 10 Articles with the Strongest Citation Bursts

Title	Type of Article	First Author	Published Year	Journal	Citations		
					Begin	End	Strength
Standard classification and pathophysiology of rosacea: The 2017 update by the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee	Review	Gallo RL	2018	JAAD	2019	2022	50.11
New insights into rosacea pathophysiology: A review of recent findings	Review	Steinhoff M	2013	JAAD	2015	2018	35.55
Standard classification of rosacea: Report of the National Rosacea Society Expert Committee on the Classification and Staging of Rosacea	Article	Wilkin J	2002	JAAD	2003	2007	32.46
Incidence and prevalence of rosacea: a systematic review and meta-analysis	Article	Gether L	2018	BJD	2020	2022	30.45
Rosacea: Part I. Introduction, categorization, histology, pathogenesis, and risk factors	Review	Two AM	2015	JAAD	2016	2020	30.41
The molecular pathology of rosacea	Review	Yamasaki K	2009	JDS	2011	2014	28.55
Increased serine protease activity and cathelicidin promote skin inflammation in rosacea	Article	Yamasaki K	2007	Nat. Med.	2008	2012	28.2
Clinical, Cellular, and Molecular Aspects in the Pathophysiology of Rosacea	Review	Steinhoff M	2011	JIDSP	2012	2016	26.7
Rosacea: I. Etiology, pathogenesis, and subtype classification	Review	Crawford GH	2004	JAAD	2005	2009	26.53
Rosacea: Current State of Epidemiology	Review	Tan J	2013	JAAD	2015	2018	22.98

Notes: JAAD: Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology; BJD: British Journal of Dermatology; JDS: Journal of Dermatological Science;

Nat. Med.: Nature Medicine; JIDSP: Journal of Investigative Dermatology Symposium Proceedings.

Figure 1. Flowchart of Searching Strategy






Figure 2. Publication Trends of Rosacea-Related Studies.



(a) Annual number of publications and citations (WoSc); (b) International collaboration among countries/regions (Online Analysis Platform); (c) Authorship analysis (CiteSpace).

Figure 3. Research Hotspots in Rosacea-Related Studies



(a) Keywords (VOSviewer); (b) Clusters of keywords (CiteSpace); (c) Top 25 keywords with the strongest citation bursts (CiteSpace).